



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,527	02/09/2004	Jeffrey L. Robbin	101-P271/P3060US1	1033
67521 7590 10/19/2009 TECHNOLOGY & INNOVATION LAW GROUP, PC ATTN: 101 19200 STEVENS CREEK BLVD., SUITE 240 CUPERTINO, CA 95014				
EXAMINER				
POLLOCK, GREGORY A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3695				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/19/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/775,527	ROBBIN, JEFFREY L.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	GREG POLLOCK	3695	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) GREG POLLOCK

(2) Douglass Thomas

**Date of Interview:** 14 October 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
35 USC § 102/103

Claims discussed:  
Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9, 11-16, 19, and 21-24

Prior art documents discussed:  
Santoro et al. (PGPub No. 2003/0020671)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193

**Status of Application:** Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9, 11-16, 19, and 21-24 stand finally rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner advised the applicant's attorney to file an RCE using the examiner's proposed claim amendments with arguments directed toward overcoming newly presented prior art Santoro et al. (PGPub No. 2003/0020671). The applicant's attorney is given two weeks (until 10/28/2009) to file supplemental claim amendments to overcome Santoro et al. (PGPub No. 2003/0020671)..